Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

#### Part A – Items considered in public

A1		ing Act 2003 of Decision	
	PREMISES The Healthy Chef 38 Corbets Tey Road Upminster RM14 2AD DETAILS OF APPLICATION		
	This application for a new premises licence w Act 2003 ("the Act").	vas made under secti	on 17 of the Licensing
	APPLICANT Mr Jonathan Hoggett and Mr Alexander Hoge 6 Spencer Crescent Upminster Essex RM14 1AN 1. Details of requested licensable act		
	Late night refreshment		
	Day	Start	Finish
	Monday to Sunday	23:00	23:30

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

Supply of Alcohol (on the pre	ises only)	
Day	Start	Finish
Monday to Sunday	10:00	23:30
Hours the premises will be op	n to the public	
Day	Start	Finish
Monday to Sunday	07:00	00:00
<ul> <li>relating to glass being used outsi premises licence if granted. A fu Committee's perusal.</li> <li>2. Promotion of the Licens</li> <li>The applicant acted in accordance</li> </ul>	tween the applicant and the the premises had been a copy of the agreed conditions <b>ng Objectives</b> with regulations 25 and 20	he Police and an additional condition agreed and would be added to the on was attached for the Sub- 6 of The Licensing Act 2003 (Premis
ences and club premises certif plication. The required public r tober 2015.		ellow Advertiser on Wednesday 21

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

3. Details of Representations
Valid representations may only address the four licensing objectives.
The prevention of crime and disorder
Public safety
The prevention of public nuisance
The protection of children from harm
There were no representations against the application from interested persons.
There was one representation against the application from responsible authorities.
Responsible Authorities
Chief Officer of Metropolitan Police ("the Police"): None
Licensing Authority: None
Planning Control & Enforcement: One
Public Protection: None
London Fire & Emergency Planning Authority ("LFEPA"): None
Health & Safety Enforcing Authority: None

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

Public Health: None
Children & Families Service: None
The Magistrates Court: None
4. Determination of Application
Sam Cadman representing the Council's Planning Services Team advised that that a change of use planning application had been submitted earlier in the year but had subsequently been withdrawn. A further planning application had been submitted in November 2015 which had yet to be determined and may well if granted be conditioned to restrict the hours of operation.
The Sub-Committee was advised that two new dwellings had been built to the rear of the application address.
Members were also advised that the applicant was currently trading without planning permission having been granted but that no enforcement action had been taken as the Service would support the change of use that had been applied for.
In response the applicant's agent, Mr Hopkins, commented that the planning application had been submitted for a café/bar and not a vertical drinking establishment which meant that patrons had to be seated to be served food or drink.
Mr Hopkins also commented that the applicant had successfully been using Temporary Event Notices in the past few weeks to enable the business to operate until a licence had been secured. Mr Hopkins confirmed that the TENs had been incident free and not

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

attracted any complaints from neighbouring properties. Mr Hopkins also advised Members that there had been an issue in the previous week of two underage customers who had tried to purchase alcohol but had been refused following checks from members of staff.
Mr Hopkins confirmed to the Sub-Committee that no request for loud music had been applied for and that only background music would be played on the premises. The applicant had also spoken to neighbours who had confirmed that they had no issues with the applicant applying for a premises licence.
In response to a question regarding the use of glassware the applicant confirmed that he would be using plastic cups to serve drinks to the tables situated outside the premises.
Decision
Consequent upon the hearing held on 10 December 2015, the Sub-Committee's decision regarding the application for a Premises Licence for The Healthy Chef 38 Corbets Tey Road, Upminster, RM14 2AD
The Sub-Committee was obliged to determine the application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, which are:
The prevention of crime and disorder
Public safety
The prevention of public nuisance
The protection of children from harm
In making its decision, the Sub-Committee also had regard to the Guidance issued under

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision
-------------------	-------	----------

Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Havering's Licensing Policy.
In addition, the Sub-Committee took account of its obligations under s17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998.
Having considered the written representations and oral responses, and having regard to the licensing objectives.
The Sub-Committee noted that whilst there would be some impact on the local amenity that this would be minimal The Sub-Committee also accepted the representations of the applicant that the type of establishment that this was intended to be would not attract a type of customer that would be likely to cause nuisance late at night in the local vicinity.
Decision:
The Sub-Committee granted the Premises Licence with the additional condition that had previously been agreed with the Police regarding the use of polycarbonate drinking vessels.
Right of Appeal
Any party to the decision or anyone who has made a relevant representation [including a responsible authority or interested party] in relation to the application may appeal to the Magistrates' Court within 21 days of notification of the decision. On appeal, the Magistrates' Court may:
<ol> <li>dismiss the appeal; or</li> <li>substitute the decision for another decision which could have been made by the</li> </ol>

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision

	<ul> <li>Sub Committee; or</li> <li>remit the case to the Sub Committee to dispose of it in accordance with the direction of the Court; and</li> <li>make an order for costs as it sees fit.</li> </ul>
A1	
A2	